

**17 DCNC2005/3689/O - SITE FOR SMITHY & STABLES
WITH FARRIERS COTTAGE AND APPRENTICE FLAT
ON PART PARCEL NO 4493, HOLMER FARM,
PUDLESTON, LEOMINSTER, HEREFORDSHIRE**

**For: Mr R Price, c/o Hamnish Farm, Leominster,
Herefordshire, HR6 0QP**

Date Received:
16th November 2005

Ward: Hampton Court **Grid Ref:**
55416, 59916

Expiry Date:
11th January 2006

Local Member: Councillor K Grumbly

1. Site Description and Proposal

- 1.1 This site is located in a field in the open countryside approximately 1/2 mile to the west of Puddleston and 1/2 mile to the south of Whye. The site flanks the north-western side of the unclassified road no. 94204 which leads north eastwards towards Whye. The site is surrounded by fields however there is an existing dwelling immediately adjacent to the site on its south western side.
- 1.2 The site itself forms part of a field. There is an existing very small tin shed in the western corner of the site. There is a tree lined hedgerow on the road frontage with a metal field gate onto the road in the south western corner of the site. The site is fairly flat/level, however the land to the rear of the site on its north western side slopes downwards, in that direction.
- 1.3 This application is for outline planning permission with all the reserved matter details reserved for future consideration. The proposal is for the erection of a smithy and stables with a farriers cottage and an apprentice flat. There will be a small menage and parking places provided plus a new vehicular access to serve the site.

2. Policies

2.1 Planning Policy Guidance

PPS1 – Delivering Sustainable Development
PPG3 – Housing
PPS7 – Sustainable Development in Rural Areas

2.2 Hereford and Worcester County Structure Plan

Policy H16A – Development Criteria
Policy H20 – Residential Development in Open Countryside
CTC9 – Development Criteria
E6 – Development in Rural Areas Outside the Green Belt

2.3 Leominster District Local Plan

Policy A2(D) – Settlement Hierarchy
Policy A35 – Small Scale New Development for Rural Businesses Within or Around Settlements

2.4 Unitary Development Plan (Revised Deposit Draft)

Policy S2 – Development Requirements
Policy DR1 – Design
Policy DR2 – Land Use and Activity
Policy H7 – Housing in the Countryside Outside Settlements
Policy E8 – Design Standards for Employment Sites
Policy E11 – Employment in the Smaller Settlements and Open Countryside
Policy H10 – Rural Exception Housing

3. Planning History

3.1 No relevant history.

4. Consultation Summary

Statutory Consultations

4.1 None required.

Internal Council Advice

4.2 The Transportation Manager recommends that any permission includes certain conditions.

4.3 The Chief Environmental Health Officer comments that details of any external lighting proposed to illuminate the development shall be submitted to, and approved by, the planning authority.

5. Representations

5.1 The applicant states:

- requires fixed facilities to undertake work as a farrier and need to be in a position to take on an apprentice
- used building on father's farm and from back of van for work but this is no longer practicable/workable
- needs proper facilities to deal with difficult horses
- with the amount of equipment in a fixed forge, horses needing remedial work and with current crime rate, a small cottage with adjoining apprentice flat would be essential
- site is in ideal situation, farriery is a countryside craft
- need to expand and move forward.

The applicant has also submitted various reports and letters in support of his case. These reports/letters basically relate to what farriery entails, the relationship of the proposal with respect to national planning legislation and guidance, that his current business is in profit and support from local residents. These reports/letters are available for inspection by members.

5.2 The Parish Council state: The members feel that this is an established business and that the development would be in line with the Governments white paper on farm diversification. The equine industry is on the incline in this area and animal welfare is paramount.

5.3 To date (site notice time period expires on 26 December 2005) there have been two letters of support received from:

- Ms M Southwell, Yew Tree Cottage, Whyte Lane, Puddleston, Hereford.
- Ms D Pardoe, Lower House, Whyte Lane, Puddleston, Hereford.

The main points being:

- right kind of development that maintains jobs and income in the countryside
- will increase farm diversification
- keep up with expanding revenue spent by equestrian
- improve buildings on site

5.4 To date (site notice time period expires on 26 December 2005) there have been three letters of objection received from:

S & H M Phillips, Walnut Tree Cottage, Whyte Lane, Puddleston, Hereford

The main points being:

- site situated at 'Holmer Farm', but no such farm exists. Objection - cottage was previously called Holmer Farm but its name was changed to Walnut Tree Cottage
- the proposed development should be located close to the farm at Brockmanton Hall
- very intensive development
- the objector criticises the comments made by the applicant with respect to the development and national planning policies and guidance
- the site has never been developed
- the proposal would have serious impact on the residential amenities of the neighbouring dwelling
- the proposed development would be a blight on the surrounding countryside as it would stand out on the landscape and be viewed for many miles around
- add unacceptable levels of traffic on this narrow road
- this site and location not suitable for this type of development
- open countryside greenfield site
- development would adversely overlook neighbour's garden
- adverse affect on natural habitat and wildlife
- undue noise will be generated by proposal as well as smell
- drainage soakaway and easement issues
- no mains water supply on site
- objector disagrees with various points set out in applicant's submitted details (i.e. case to support the proposal) essentially pointing out that the proposal will adversely affect local environment and that there is no real need for the development in this location despite the points put forward by the applicant
- no evidence of so-called footprint on land next to tin shed
- problems of drainage in area

5.5 The full text of these letters can be inspected at Northern Planning Services, Blueschool House, Blueschool Street, Hereford and prior to the Sub-Committee meeting.

6. Officers Appraisal

6.1 The main issues relate to the principle of erecting two residential dwellings on this site and in this location, the principle of siting the business premises on this site, the need for the dwellings and business use to be sited in the location, the effect of the development on the environment/landscape and the residential amenities of the neighbouring dwelling and also highway safety. The most relevant policies are A2(D) and A35 of the Leominster District Local Plan.

6.2 The proposal constitutes the erection of residential development and commercial development in the open countryside, outside of any designated settlement, which is contrary to the approved planning policies for the area. Also the proposed development is set in an elevated, exposed and prominent position in the countryside where it will adversely affect the visual amenity and character of this rural area. Again for these reasons the proposed development would be contrary to the approved planning policies and guidance for the area.

6.3 It is not considered that the applicant has successfully demonstrated that there is a genuine need for the proposed development to be situated in this location whether it be in part or as a whole. There is no justification for the commercial use in this location. Existing vacant or disused rural buildings in the wider area could be utilized for this use without the need to erect new buildings. Also it is not considered that there is any functional requirement for the proposed residential units/dwellings to be erected in connection with the commercial use proposed.

6.4 The proposed development is therefore considered to be unacceptable and contrary to the approved planning policies and guidance for the area. The proposed development is not in connection or sited near any farm complex and as such is not considered to fall under the ambit of farm diversification.

RECOMMENDATION

That outline planning permission be refused for the following reason:

- 1. The proposed development situated in this exposed, elevated and prominent position in the open countryside outside of any of the designated settlements is considered to be unacceptable in terms of principle and also its adverse affect as the visual appearance and character of this rural area. Also its considered that no sufficient evidence of need requiring the development to be located in this location was submitted. As such it is considered that the proposed development will be contrary to policies H16A, H20, CTC9 and E6 of the Hereford and Worcester County Structure Plan, Policies A2(D) and A35 of the Leominster District Local Plan and also Government advice contained in Planning Policy Statement 1 'Delivering Sustainable Development' and Planning Policy Statement 7 'Sustainable Development in Rural Areas'.**


Decision:

Notes:

.....

Background Papers

Internal departmental consultation replies.



This copy has been produced specifically for Planning purposes. No further copies may be made.

APPLICATION NO: DCNC2005/3689/O

SCALE : 1 : 1250

SITE ADDRESS : Part Parcel No 4493, Holmer Farm, Pudleston, Leominster, Herefordshire

Based upon the Ordnance Survey mapping with the permission of the controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office, © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Herefordshire Council. Licence No: 100024168/2005